



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/838,638	04/19/2001	Darla K. Nolan	15235.3	9179
27526	7590 02/23/2004		EXAMINER	
BLACKWELL SANDERS PEPER MARTIN LLP			DAVIS, GEORGE B	
	RSHING SQUARE IN STREET, SUITE 1000		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	Y, MO 64108		2121	a
			DATE MAILED: 02/23/2004	. 1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

X

## Office Action Summary

Examiner **Group Art Unit** 

—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address— **Period for Reply** 

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE

OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	• •
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statute.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MON.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application.</li> </ul>	ory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status	
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
☐ This action is FINAL.	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matter accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 C.	
Disposition of Claims	
Claim(s)	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
□ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
□ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
Claim(s) 1-113	
Application Papers	requirement.
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-9-	48.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ app	roved 🗆 disapproved.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Example.	miner.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority docum</li> <li>□ received.</li> </ul>	nents have been
<ul> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)</li> <li>□ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau</li> </ul>	<b>1</b>
*Certified copies not received:	•
Attachment(s)	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	☐ Other
Office Action Summa	ıry

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 09/838638 Page 2

Art Unit: 2121

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-50, 55-59, 64-68, 74 and 93 are drawn to rule-base system, classified in class 706, subclass 47.
  - II. Claims 51-54 and 110-113 are drawn to abstraction, classified in class 706, subclass 55.
  - III. Claims 60-63, 69-73, 75-91 and 94-96 are drawn to database, classified in class 707, subclass 1.
  - IV. Claim 92 is drawn to field value, classified in class 707, subclass 509.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because: each is directed to separate invention.
- 3. Inventions groups I, II, III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions. Group I recite rules while group II do not recite rules and group II recite abstractions while group I do recite abstractions. Group I recite rules while group

Application/Control Number: 09/838638 Page 3

Art Unit: 2121

III do not recite rules and group III recite database or metabase while group I do not recite database or metabase. Group I recite rules while group IV do not recite rules and group IV recite a key field and an optional value field while group I do not have a key field and an optional value field.

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CAR 1.143).
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Davis whose telephone number is (703) 305-3891. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:00 am to 6:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anil Khatri, can be reached on (703) 305-0282. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 746-7240.

Application/Control Number: 09/838638

Page 4

Art Unit: 2121

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

February 19, 2004

**GEORGE B. DAVIS** 

PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER